

Press Note
7 July 2026
Delhi NCR

**Glaring inconsistencies and blatant fabrications in UP Police's
chargesheets in the Noida workers' protest case:
Revealing documents of UP Police's desperation to create a conspiracy.**

In the last couple of weeks, more than two months after isolated incidents of violence in Noida, the UP Police has filed chargesheets in four cases: **FIR 163/2026, FIR 164/2026, FIR165/2026, and FIR 169/2026**. The documents, the largest of which runs to around 1800 pages, stand to prove that not only are these cases false, with no substantial evidence of a “planned conspiracy”, but further that these investigations are entirely botched-up, doctored, and built on childish inconsistencies and sinister fabrications. The chargesheets and the narrative they construct betray the UP Police's real intention: to criminalise protest and dissent, to attack constitutionally guaranteed rights, and to cover up their real character and actions during their repression of the workers' protests in Noida.

It would be a mammoth task to list out all the inconsistencies, mistakes, and lies in these chargesheets, but we present below some of the most important and telling instances found across the four documents.

1. Inconsistencies in dates and places of arrest

In **FIR 163**, the dates of arrest in the chargesheet contradict the dates given in the remand sheets

Name	Date of Arrest in Chargesheet	Date of Arrest in Remand Sheet
Akriti Chaudhary	22.04.2026	15.04.2026
Srishti Gupta	24.04.2026	15.04.2026
Manisha Chauhan	24.04.2026	15.04.2026
Rupesh Roy	7.05.2026	12.04.2026

In FIRs 164 and 165, similar mistakes are found.

Name	Date of Arrest in Chargesheet	Date of Arrest in Remand Sheet
Akriti Chaudhary	27.04.2026	17.04.2026
Srishti Gupta	27.04.2026	17.04.2026
Manisha Chauhan	27.04.2026	17.04.2026
Rupesh Roy	7.05.2026	17.04.2026

In the case of **Satyam Verma** as well, we see the UP Police commit the same mistake. CCTV footage establishes that Satyam was **picked up from his residence in Lucknow by UP Police on 17 April, around 11pm**. However, the chargesheets claim that he was arrested on **19 April from the Phase II Police Station!**

Himanshu Thakur's case is also similar. He was picked up by police from Shalimar Bagh in Delhi on 18 April. **Case Diary 11 in FIR 163** records that he was taken to the police station on **18.04.2026**. But elsewhere in the **chargesheet**, the date and place of arrest are made out to be **19.04.2026, and Phase III Police Station**. In this case, there are inconsistencies in the dates within the same chargesheet itself!

Srishti Gupta's date of arrest is inconsistent in the **chargesheet for FIR 169/2026** as well. It states the date as **26.05.2026** while the **remand sheet claims the arrest was made on 18.05.2026**.

2. Inconsistencies in locations of accused

In chargesheet for **FIR 169/2026**, it states on **pg.111** that **Himanshu stayed in Noida on 10.04.2026**, however, in the **Case Diary 21**, **Himanshu's phone location records show that he was in Delhi at that time**, in his residence!

In the **164/2026** chargesheet, on **pg.159 & 160**, it states that **Akriti, Srishti, Manisha, Rupesh, Aditya, and Himanshu** delivered violent speeches and were **present on 13.04.2026 in committing acts of arson and violence**. Firstly, Akriti, Srishti, Manisha and Rupesh were arrested by UP police at around 7:35PM on 11.04.2026, and were sent to the District Jail, Gautam Buddh Nagar the next day. Secondly, neither Aditya nor Himanshu were present at any of the protest sites on 13.04.2026, which can be proven from their phone location records.

The chargesheets also stand in contradiction with the documents submitted as grounds for invoking the National Security Act against Satyam Verma and Akriti Chaudhary. In the document submitted to and passed by the District Magistrate stating **Satyam's grounds of detention under the NSA**, it is stated that Satyam was **present in Noida on 10, 11 and 13 April 2026**. However, in the **chargesheets filed, the same UP Police claims that he was not in Noida** during that time!

The chargesheet for **164/2026** claims that Rupesh was arrested during the violent movement, whereas live video and thousands of eyewitnesses show that he was picked up by policemen in plain clothes from the Botanical Garden Metro Station on 11.04.2026, around 7:35PM.

3. False "recoveries" made by UP Police

In the chargesheet for **FIR 165/2026**, we find troubling inconsistencies regarding the recovery of mobile phones of the accused by UP Police.

Case Diary 24, pg.6 claims that Akriti's phone was recovered from a bag of hers found in a park in **Nimmi Vihar, near PS Phase 2 on 26.04.2026**. But in the **Case Diary 21** of Chargesheet for **169/2026**, the **last recorded location** of Akriti's phone is **Botanical Garden Metro Station** around 7:04PM on 11.04.2026, around the time she was picked up by the UP Police. **How then, could she have placed her bag at a location 10kms away from the metro station while being in police custody?**

A similar problem arises in the case of Srishti's phone as well. In **Case Diary 24**, the police claims Srishti's phone was **recovered during police custody on 26.04.2026 at SNC Company, Transport Nagar**. However, from the **Case Diary 21 of the chargesheet for 169/2026**, her phone location is shown on 11.04.2026 evening near Botanical Garden Metro Station. That was the time

when she too was arrested, and even claimed on live video that the police had snatched her phone from her. The locations change on 12.04.2026 & 13.04.2026, while she was arrested and lodged at the District Jail.

4. Claims of incendiary speeches and messages without a single iota of proof.

Across the four chargesheets, we find many claims by UP Police regarding violent acts.

In Chargesheet **163/2026**, on pages **47, 53, 55**, it is stated that **violent acts took place on 10.04.2026 and 11.04.2026**, but there is **no videographic or other evidence** provided in the 700-page document to prove this.

On pages **48, 51, 56** of the same chargesheet, it is claimed that Rupesh, Akriti, Manisha, Srishti, Aditya and Himanshu **sent incendiary messages** on groups, but there is **no evidence** from said groups to substantiate this.

Pages **154 and 158 of Chargesheet 164/2026** claim that Aditya and Rupesh delivered inflammatory speeches on 11.04.2026. While the UP Police has no proof to back this, there are multiple videos in the public domain of the two accused administering an oath to keep the strike peaceful among the workers, and of appeals for peace in their speeches on that day.

Pages **159 and 160 of the same chargesheet** claim that Rupesh, Akriti, Manisha, Srishti, Aditya and Himanshu delivered violent speeches on 13.04.2026. As stated already, their locations contradict this claim, and there is no evidence of any such speech provided by the police.

In **Chargesheet 169/2026**, on page **114**, the police claims that **Yogesh Meena sent inflammatory messages** on WhatsApp groups. As already released in **public domain**, Yogesh repeatedly sent messages appealing to workers to keep the protest peaceful, to not commit acts of violence, to not get provoked by any suspicious elements. However, the police handpicks only a few messages in the chargesheet, but none of them are inflammatory either! **The messages are alerting workers from falling for police propaganda, exposing UP Police for abducting activists from Botanical Garden Metro Station without due process, for abducting lawyers and activists on 12.04.2026 from outside court premises!** These are all true incidents that are captured on video, and there is nothing inflammatory in Yogesh's messages. **Moreover, the police have also attached screenshots of Yogesh's voice messages, without providing any transcript!**

5. Shabby cover-up of police involvement

In **Chargesheet 169/2026**, the police detail the arrest of **Anil Kumar**, a driver who claimed to be working for a senior UP Police official, by stating that they received such information from the social media page of CaRWAN! However, they selectively show only one voice note sent by Anil on a WhatsApp group around noon on 13.04.2026, and show a call made by Yogesh on the same day. The truth is that **Anil Kumar had sent an earlier message at 8:46AM on 13.04.2026**, and **Yogesh called him at 8:47AM**. The police do not show this message but show that Yogesh called him. **This insidious distortion of facts aims to prove that Yogesh told Anil to post such messages, but the complete WhatsApp chat records disprove this.** Moreover, if Anil was incited by Yogesh with the promise of money, **why has the UP Police not disclosed Anil's bank transaction details or Call Detail records in their 1800-page tome?**

Most importantly, if the police took cognisance by seeing the CaRWAN posts on Anil Kumar, why have they kept mum about **SI Beena** of Sector 142? The woman whose incendiary messages were also exposed by us? If the UP police intends to disprove allegations of its role in provoking violence in Noida, it cannot do so without addressing the question of SI Beena and it must provide stronger arguments than anonymously claiming she was an “undercover intelligence operative” whose modus operandi was to send inflammatory messages herself!

6. The Crux of their Conspiracy: A public inauguration of a children’s library!

In **pg.155 of Chargesheet 164/2026, pages 117, 119, 120, 122 of Chargesheet 165/2026, pg.104 of Chargesheet 169/2026**, the UP Police spins an elaborate tale of a **covert conspiracy meeting** that took place in Karawal Nagar, Delhi on 22.04.2026, where the violence was planned by the accused activists. **The truth, however, is that a children’s library and a youth centre, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Yuva Kendra, was publicly inaugurated in the neighbourhood on that day.** It was a public meeting of residents, activists, intellectuals, artists who celebrated the initiative and took part in the program, whose videos, photos are in the public domain since that day.

7. “Accused” of Marxist Ideology!

In a stunning display of their ideological bias, the UP Police has also formally “**accused**” Satyam Verma of **subscribing to Marxist ideology in page 56 of the Chargesheet 163/2026**. UP Police has created a new crime in the country in its desperation to frame activists.

8. ‘Improper demands’ of workers

In Chargesheet 163/2026, the police claim that the protests and road blockages began over ‘improper demands’ by the workers. These demands were: increase in minimum wage (which has not been done in UP for 10 years), double rate for overtime, weekly offs, and better working conditions. These constitutionally guaranteed rights, these legitimate demands are painted as “improper”. It is also worth noting that the UP government promptly hiked the wages, albeit nominally and only on paper, after the protests broke out. Why were such improper demands raised as part of a so-called criminal conspiracy met so quickly by the UP government?

9. Multiple “witnesses” with verbatim same statements!

Across **pages 4-24 of the Case Diary 40 in Chargesheet 169/2026, 37 people** have recorded the exact same statement, word-for-word.

In **Chargesheet 163/2026, 3 different statements are the same in Case Diary 28, 3 more are same in pages 7,9,11 of Case Diary 1, and two more are the same in pages 13 and 17 in Case Diary 1.**

Clearly the statements are pre-written, doctored, and repeated without any application of sense! This lazy work reveals the sinister truth behind the authenticity of witness statements recorded by the UP Police. It throws every witness or accused statement recorded in these documents under serious suspicion of fabrication. Seen along with the multiple accounts of intimidation, harassment,

custodial torture and fabrication of evidence made by workers and activists, these inconsistencies compel us to question every word of these chargesheets.

These chargesheets ultimately tell us that either the UP Police has completely lost the plot or it is openly lying. Apart from the above-mentioned, there are endless errors from basic facts like dates and addresses to more blatant, baseless allegations of guilt, and of course, the ever present 9-digit phone numbers of the police officers, etc which cannot possibly be covered here.

The UP police's consistent incompetence in the course of this investigation, now displayed in these chargesheets, reveals the real motives of the UP Police. These contradictions, fabrications all point to the fact that today, the UP Police is acting at the behest of corporate interests. They are acting as the hired goons in uniform for these owners, carrying out a completely botched-up investigation and persecuting innocent activists and workers in their efforts to prove its loyalty to their corporate masters.

-The Campaign for Release of Workers and Activists of Noida (The CaRWAN)

Contact on Whatsapp: 7038203920, 7013936466.